UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,557	02/27/2004	Richard L. Hartman	HA75-006	7069
²¹⁵⁶⁷ WELLS ST. JC	7590 01/24/2007 OHN P.S.		EXAMINER	
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201			DAYE, CHELCIE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2161	7
		9-1		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	. DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/788,557	HARTMAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chelcie Daye	2161			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appr r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 C	October 2006.				
• —	•	s action is non-final.				
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
• —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7)						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<i>,</i> —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Russian Policy Copies of the Priority document application from the International Russian Policy Copies of the Priority document application from the International Russian Policy Copies of the Priority document application from the International Russian Policy Copies of the Priority document application from the International Russian Policy Copies of the Priority document application from the International Russian Policy Copies of the Priority document application from the International Russian Policy Copies of the Priority document application from the International Russian Policy Copies of the Priority document application from the International Russian Policy Copies of the Priority document application from the International Russian Policy Copies of the Priority C	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv	tion No			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s) .					
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal	Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Page 2

This action is issued in response to applicant's amendment filed on October 31,
 2006.

- 2. Claims 1-8 are presented. Claim 8 was added and no claims were cancelled.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are pending.

Priority

4. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention, which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original non-provisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 60/008700, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. Specifically, claim 8 recites the limitation of "an EPS format", which is not supported within the provisional application. Accordingly, claim 8 is not entitled to the benefit of the prior application.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,557 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

Claim Objections

5. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: the use of the acronym "EPS", is not completely and clearly stated within the claim language nor within the specification. The acronym EPS is operable to represent a plurality of functions and unfortunately the specification does not provide a definition for the acronym. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, claim 8 recites the limitation of "an EPS format" which is not supported within the specification in such a way in order to allow one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain an adequate meaning of the acronym as well as obtaining the functionality and purpose of the acronym.

Art Unit: 2161

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Taylor (US Patent No. 5,832,497) filed August 10, 1995.

Regarding Claim 1, Taylor discloses a system for handling resume graphic files, comprising:

a server being configured to receive (columns 2-3, lines 59-67 and 1-15, respectively, Taylor), via HTML (column 4, lines 15-25, Taylor), fields for at least contact information, and resume graphic files which maintain the appearance, format information, and font information of original documents (column 5, lines 24-49, Taylor); and

a client machine in selective communication with the server (column 3, lines 7-15, Taylor), the server being configured to communicate to the client machine a resume graphic file upload request (columns 4-5, lines 63-67 and 1-15, respectively, Taylor), the client machine being configured to selectively transmit via HTML, to the server (column 4, lines 15-25, Taylor), some of the

Art Unit: 2161

fields of the contact information and a resume graphic file (column 6, lines 8-34, Taylor).

Regarding Claim 2, Taylor discloses a system for handling resume graphic files, comprising:

a server being configured to receive (columns 2-3, lines 59-67 and 1-15, respectively, Taylor), via the World Wide Web (column 4, lines 15-25, Taylor), fields for at least contact information, and resume graphic files which maintain the appearance, format information, and font information of original documents (column 5, lines 24-49, Taylor); and

a client machine in selective communication with the server (column 3, lines 7-15, Taylor), the server being configured to communicate to the client machine a resume graphic file upload request (columns 4-5, lines 63-67 and 1-15, respectively, Taylor), the client machine being configured to selectively transmit via the World Wide Web, to the server (column 4, lines 15-25, Taylor), some of the fields of the contact information and a resume graphic file (column 6, lines 8-34, Taylor).

Regarding Claim 3, Taylor discloses a system for handling resume graphic files, comprising:

a server being configured to receive (columns 2-3, lines 59-67 and 1-15, respectively, Taylor), via the World Wide Web (column 4, lines 15-25, Taylor),

fields for at least contact information, and resume graphic files which maintain the appearance, format information, and font information of original documents (column 5, lines 24-49, Taylor); and

a client machine in selective communication with the server (column 3, lines 7-15, Taylor), the server being configured to communicate to the client machine instructions for transmitting resume graphic files (columns 4-5, lines 63-67 and 1-15, respectively, Taylor), the client machine being configured to selectively transmit via the World Wide Web, to the server (column 4, lines 15-25, Taylor), some of the fields of the contact information and a resume graphic file (column 6, lines 8-34, Taylor).

Regarding Claim 4, Taylor discloses a system for handling resume graphic files, comprising:

a server being configured to communicate (columns 4-5, lines 63-67 and 1-15, respectively, Taylor), via the World Wide Web (column 4, lines 15-25, Taylor), instructions for transmitting fields for at least contact information, and graphic files which maintain the appearance, format information, and font information of resumes (column 5, lines 24-49, Taylor); and

the server being further configured to receive (columns 2-3, lines 59-67 and 1-15, respectively, Taylor), via the World Wide Web (column 4, lines 15-25, Taylor), fields for at least contact information, and the graphic files (column 5, lines 24-49, Taylor).

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding Claims 5 and 7, Taylor discloses a system for handling resumes, comprising:

a server being configured to receive (columns 2-3, lines 59-67 and 1-15, respectively, Taylor), via the World Wide Web (column 4, lines 15-25, Taylor), fields for at least contact information, the server being further configured to receive appearance, format information, and font information of the resumes (column 5, lines 24-49, Taylor); and

a client machine in selective communication with the server (column 3, lines 7-15, Taylor), the server being configured to communicate to the client machine instructions for transmitting appearance, format information, and font information of the resumes (columns 4-5, lines 63-67 and 1-15, respectively, Taylor), the client machine being configured to selectively transmit, to the server, via the World Wide Web (column 4, lines 15-25, Taylor), the appearance, format information, and font information of the resumes, and one or more fields of the contact information (column 6, lines 8-34, Taylor).

Regarding Claim 6, Taylor discloses a system for handling resumes, comprising:

a server being configured to communicate (columns 4-5, lines 63-67 and 1-15, respectively, Taylor), via the World Wide Web (column 4, lines 15-25,

Art Unit: 2161

Taylor) instructions for transmitting appearance, format information, and font information of the resumes (column 5, lines 24-49, Taylor); and

the server being further configured to receive (columns 2-3, lines 59-67 and 1-15, respectively, Taylor), via the World Wide Web (column 4, lines 15-25, Taylor) the appearance, format information, and font information of the resumes, and fields for at least contact information (column 5, lines 24-49, Taylor).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (US Patent No. 5,832,497) filed August 10, 1995, in view of "Free On-Line Dictionary of Computing, published January 4, 1995; hereinafter referred to as 'FOLDOC'.

Regarding Claim 8, Taylor discloses all of the claimed subject matter as stated above. However, Taylor is silent with respect to the resume graphic files being of an EPS format. On the other hand, FOLDOC discloses a system for handling resume graphic files wherein the resume graphic files are of EPS format (pg. 1, FOLDOC). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the definition and teachings of

Page 9

Encapsulated Postscript into the Taylor system. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine as a way of satisfying additional restrictions, which may have been caused within the past. The encapsulated postscript is intended to be printed from within other applications and applicable to contain a variety of text and image formats. Thereby, allowing for a more informative and precise document.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues, Taylor does not disclose "appearance, format information, and font information", as recited in the claims.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner recognizes and appreciates the applicant's thorough explanation of their interpretation of the meaning of the terms "appearance", "format", and "font". However, the detailed definitions given are not supported by the claim language nor the specification in anyway. As such, examiner is required to give the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim language. Therefore, according to the "Microsoft Computer Dictionary", the definition for the term appearance is an outward aspect, the definition for the term format is structure or appearance of a unit of data, and the definition for the term font is a set of characters of the same typeface, style, and weight. As such, the examiners interpretation of the claim language has been determined from the above stated definitions along with the present applications specification, which clearly states at paragraph [0050] that "an applicant can use a facsimile machine to fax his/her resume and the facsimile machine creates a

Application/Control Number: 10/788,557 Page 10

Art Unit: 2161

graphics file". Therefore, examiner believes all of the claim limitations have been fully disclosed.

Points of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chelcie Daye whose telephone number is 571-272-3891. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chelcie Daye Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 January 18, 2007

Sana Al-Hashem